

March 31, 1981

of mine, who chide me constantly wondering what kind of a record are we trying to set. The only record we are trying to set is fairness and I would suggest to you that we have with 245, we have had substantial amount of debate and I would also try to get your cooperation to debate this bill until noon and then we will come back and start on General File priority bills. If we can not do this, ladies and gentlemen, what it amounts to is that this Legislature is simply going to go downhill and there will be many of you whose priorities will not be touched. Okay what is the next item on LB 245, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Mr. President, if I may read some matters in before that. Very quickly, Senator Schmit, Johnson would like to print amendments to LB 167; Senator Wesely to LB 44. (See pages 1211-1211 of the Journal.)

Your committee on Public Health and Welfare reports LB 378 to General File; 499 General File with amendments; 270 General File with amendments; 212 with amendments; 404 General File with amendments; 522 General File with amendments, all signed, Senator Cullan. (See pages 1212-1218 of the Journal.)

Mr. President, the next amendment I have is from Senator DeCamp and that amendment is found on page 1145 of the Journal.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, it appeared to me and several others that the real stumbling block on resolving the issue of the vets school and which way we go had to do with the issue of federal funds and whether we were just going to have an indefinite forever date on this and so the purpose of this amendment was to, so to speak, "fish or cut bait," make a decision one way or another on whether we were going to have the vets school and of course that decision was contingent as has been stated many times on what happens at the federal level. So the purpose of this amendment was and is to force that issue. The second purpose of the amendment was to say, if we do not get the federal funds, then we want to use this money for another purpose, some other agricultural purpose. And so I had the money funneled off into the Beef Science Building as of a certain date so that we would not have to fight that issue again. However, it is my understanding that Senator Schmit, Kahle, Lamb, those interested in the vets school have now resolved, so to speak, the issue of the "fish or cut bait" issue which is the principal stumbling block in this thing and they have a separate amendment with a separate date. It is a little more delayed. I am perfectly willing to go along with that since, as I say, that is the big